UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,141	10/27/2003	Matt R. Hogstrom	RSW920030188US1	3273
23550 HOFFMAN WA	7590 12/19/200 ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER		
75 STATE STR	REET		SALL, EL HADJI MALICK	
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,141	HOGSTROM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EL HADJI M. SALL	2457	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and compared application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination.	rawn from consideration. I/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the drawing(s) be the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/694,141 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the request for continued examination filed on October 1, 2008. Claims 1, 10, 15, 17 and 20-21 are amended. Claims 1-22 are pending. Claims 1-22 represent method, system and program product for communicating over a network.

2. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 13-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oliver et al. US 20080021969.

Oliver teaches the invention as claimed including signature generation using message summaries (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,141 Page 3

Art Unit: 2457

As to claims 1, 10, 15 and 20, Oliver teaches a method, a system and a program product stored on a recordable medium for communicating over a network, the method comprising:

obtaining a set of rules for classifying messages on a client (paragraphs [0009] and [0030], Oliver discloses classifying spam messages using signatures based on message summaries (i.e. "a set of rules for classifying messages"). The process takes place on a mail client);

providing a message on the client to be sent to a server (paragraph [0031], Oliver discloses extracting information from the classified message and submit it to the server).

classifying the message on the client based on the set of rules (figure 2; paragraph [0030]); and

after classifying the message at the client, sending the message to the server based on the message classification, wherein the message classification determines how the message is routed for processing at the server (paragraphs [0030]-[0033]).

As to claim 2, Oliver teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the providing step comprises generating the message (paragraph [0009]).

As to claims 3, 14, 18 and 22, Oliver teaches the method of claims 1, 10 and 15, further comprising periodically requesting an updated set of rules from the server (paragraph [0022]).

As to claim 4, Oliver teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the classifying step includes matching an attribute of the message with at least one of the set of rules (paragraph [0024]).

As to claims 6 and 13, Oliver teaches the method of claims 1 and 10, further comprising opening a connection with the server for the message (figure 1).

As to claim 16, Oliver teaches the system of claim 15, further comprising a plurality of processing systems, wherein each processing system processes messages having a unique message classification (figure 2).

As to claims 17 and 21, Oliver teaches the system of claims 15 and 20, further comprising a classification system for classifying messages at a client (paragraph [0030], Oliver discloses classifying spam messages on a mail client).

4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2457

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

 Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 and 7-9, 11-12 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver et al. U.S. 7,200,636 in view of Ogimoto et al. U.S. 6,032,205.

Oliver teaches the invention substantially as claimed including signature generation using message summaries (see abstract)..

As to claim 5, Oliver teaches the method of claim 1

Oliver fails to teach explicitly adjusting a port for the message.

However, Ogimoto teaches crossbar switch system for always transferring normal messages and selectively transferring broadcast messages from input buffer to output buffer when it has sufficient space respectively. Ogimoto teaches adjusting a port for the message (column 4, lines 26-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Oliver in view of Ogimoto to provide adjusting a

Art Unit: 2457

communication protocol port for the message based on the classification prior to the sending step. One would be motivated to do so to allow signal line fit the port.

As to claims 7 and 8, Oliver teaches the method of claims 1 and 7, respectively.

Oliver fails to teach explicitly a first port.

However, Ogimoto teaches a first port (column 11, line 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Oliver in view of Ogimoto to provide receiving a response message from the server, wherein the classified message and the response message are communicated over a first communication protocol port, and wherein the first communication protocol port is not a default communication protocol port. One would be motivated to do so to allow transmitting the message (abstract).

As to claim 9, Oliver teaches the method of claim 1.

Oliver fails to teach explicitly a plurality of ports.

However, Ogimoto teaches separately monitoring a plurality of ports (column 13, lines 43-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Oliver in view of Ogimoto to provide separately monitoring a plurality of ports on the server for messages. One would be motivated to do so to allow maintaining the health of the network.

As to claims 11 and 19, Oliver teaches the method and the system of claims 10 and 15.

Oliver fails to teach explicitly a unique port.

However, Ogimoto teaches a unique port (column 11, lines 21-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Oliver in view of Ogimoto to provide receiving a classified message from the client through a unique communication protocol port. One would be motivated to do so to allow determine whether the message is a normal message or a broadcast message (column 11, lines 23-24).

As to claim 12, Oliver teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising: processing the classified message (paragraph [0030]); sending a response message to the client (paragraph [0031]).

6. Conclusion

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the

claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to El Hadji M Sall whose telephone number is 571-272-4010. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/El Hadji M Sall/

Examiner, Art Unit 2457

Application/Control Number: 10/694,141 Page 9

Art Unit: 2457

/ARIO ETIENNE/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457